

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,652	07/24/2001	Joesph Vito Pellegrino		7819
759 Jacomb Wite Pe			EXAM	INER
Joesph Vito Pellegrino 254 Terrace Rd Bayport, NY 11705			NGUYEN, TRAN N	
Baypon, 110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11: 4/2)		
•	Application No.	plicant(s)	M-	
•	09/911,652	PELLEGRINO, JO	PELLEGRINO, JOESPH VITO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tran N. Nguyen	2834		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	aress	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIR	E 3 MONTH(S) FROM		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second and period for reply will, by second for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, 1. a reply within the statutory minimuleriod will apply and will expire SIX	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
<i>,</i> —	This action is non-final	l.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	llowance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims	nder <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 19	335 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applic				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration	on.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requireme	ent.		
Application Papers	minor			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		to by the Examiner		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection			ı .	
Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved	b)☐ disapproved by the Exami	ner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been receiv	red.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu				
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	e priority documents hav nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	re been received in this Nationa 7.2(a)).	al Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			al application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language			,,	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	л п	Interview Summany (DTO 442) Paner	No(e)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I Notice of Informal Patent Application (I Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/911,652

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, all the claimed subject matters as recited in claims 1-28, as well as disclosed in the specification must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

In other words, the applicant is <u>requested to provide drawings</u> that pictorially illustrate the claimed invention, wherein the details of the drawings should show all subject matters that are disclosed in the specification and recited in the claims.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.

The specification is objected because of failing to provide a clear description of how the device works. The applicant has described an engine solely running on the power of natural permanent magnet. The present invention discloses that the magnets repel each other in the

Application/Control Number: 09/911,652

Art Unit: 2834

engine causing a turning motion of a so-called middle bar; in turn, this middle bar causing a motion drive system of gears, pulleys and conveyer belts to move in order to enable positioning of a "magnetic hammer" provided with permanent magnet to repel a magnet on an arm connected to the middle bar.

The device will not operate as disclosed because of the following reasons:

The field magnets generate steady magnetic fields interacting with the steady magnetic force of the middle bar and the "magnetic hammer" results in no change in magnetic flux flow. This will eventually bring the spinning middle bar assembly to the equilibrium state. Therefore, eventually no continuous rotation/spinning will occur on the middle bar. In other words, the device's magnetic flux flow is always in a constant state between the middle bar and the magnetic hammer; thus, there is no change in magnetic fields between the two permanent magnet assemblies in order to create a continuous rotational/spinning force to cause the rotary magnet middle-bar assembly to rotate/spin;

Furthermore, the present invention seems to disregard fiction loss that will occur within the motion drive system of gears, pulleys and conveyer belts for positioning the "magnetic hammer" to repel a magnet on an arm connected to the middle bar. This fiction loss will eventually effect the ability to locate the magnetic hammer to a proper position in order to generate a magnetic repel force with the magnet of the middle bar. This would result in decreasing the magnetic strength thereof.

Also, in the Specification of the invention, the applicant discloses that the engine relies solely on its own natural magnetic energy to produce rotary output energy. The present invention does not use any supplied source of input power during the working operation of engine. The claimed engine is a self-powering device.

Based on the above reasons, the present invention is known as a perpetual motion machine, which will not work because of the violation of the First and/or Second Law of Thermodynamics.

It is the policy of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to require a working model to be provided before a patent can be granted for perpetual motion machines. The applicant needs to clearly indicate in his response to this action how this device differs from a perpetual motion machine, and must provide an enabling disclosure for his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,652

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the specification indicates that what the applicant trying to patent is a perpetual motion machine. The applicant must provide a working model of the disclosed invention before the application can be further examined unless the applicant is able to clearly indicate in his response to this action how this device differs from a perpetual motion machine, and must provide an enabling disclosure for his invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tran Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1639.

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax phone number for

this Group is (703) 305-3431 (32).

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TC-2800

7/13/02